UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,107	05/03/2006	Motonori Yamamoto	12810-00192-US1	3025
30678 7590 04/18/2011 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			EXAMINER	
1875 EYE STR	EET, N.W.	FANG, SHANE		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/567,107	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHANE FANG	1766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- No claim amendment.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- The previous 103 rejections of claims 1-7 and 9-20 over Warzelhan et al. in view of Ohnishi et al. have been overcome by applicants' antedating Ohnishi. The certified translation of FOR fully supports this invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claim 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warzelhan et al. (US 6018004, listed on IDS) in view of Nakamura et al. (US 5006610).

As to claims 1-7 and 9-20, Warzelhan (abs., Ex. 14 and 16, 3:5-35, 5:1-40) discloses a biodegradable polymer blend of polyester and starch for producing molds. Warzelhan further teaches a blend of starch (about 9.4 parts about 32 wt.% by calculation and polyester (14 parts, about 48 wt.% by calculation) (Ex. 16). Warzelhan discloses biodegradable polyester as recited in claim 2 contains A)acid component comprising 30-70 mol% of adipic acid, 30-70 mol% terephthalic acid, 0-5 mol% of sulfonated compound; B) C2-C6-alkanediol; C) HO-(-C(O)-G-O-)_p-H, wherein p=1-1500, G=-CH₂-, D) a component capable forming ester linkages.

Warzelhan fails to disclose the claimed additional component of the copolymer of glycidyl (meth)acrylate/styrene.

Nakamura (abs., 2:20-608, 8:1-65, (:1-15) discloses a polyester composition for producing molds comprising polyester, polyphenylene ether, and 0.5-10 parts of a copolymer of styrene and glycidyl methacrylate by weight for 100 parts of the polyester composition. By calculation, the copolymer is 0.5-11.1 wt% of the other two components.

Nakamura further

teaches adding said loading of the copolymer would render optimal impact resistance and heat deflection and avoid gelation during the melt process, and the resultant mold would show excellent mechanical property.

Therefore, as to Claims 1-7 and 9-20, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the biodegradable resin composition disclosed by Warzelhan and further added the copolymer of glycidyl (meth)acrylate/styrene of Nakamura at the disclosed loading, because the resultant resin mixture would yield molds having optimal impact resistance, optimal heat deflection, and excellent mechanical property.

Particularly to claims 6 and 16-19, Warzelhan teaches a mixing/reaction process of making a blend of a polyester and a starch in absence of free radical initiator (Ex. 16). Nakamura discloses the absence of free radical initiator. One of ordinary skill in the art

at would obviously recognize to performance a one step mixing of all three components in absence of free radical initiator.

As to claims 7 and 20, Warzelhan and Nakamura are silent on the sequence of mixing polyester, starch, and copolymer of glycidyl (meth)acrylate/styrene. However, selection of any order of mixing ingredients is prima facie obvious. In re Gibson, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930). In this particular case, the application fails to disclose benchmark of one-step mixing vs. selective sequential mixing as recited in claims 7 and 20. All examples in Table 1-3 of instant application shows the selective sequential mixing using melaic anhydride as component iii instead of the claimed copolymer of glycidyl (meth)acrylate/styrene. No examples of one-step mixing are disclosed.

Response to Arguments

The argument for allowance of amended claims has been fully considered and persuasive. All previous 103 rejections have been withdrawn. See above new ground rejections.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHANE FANG whose telephone number is (571)270-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 8 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. EST... If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/567,107

Art Unit: 1766

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 5

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sf

/RANDY GULAKOWSKI/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1766